



Public Record

3rd Session | StuCo | Term 2025/26

28th October 2025 | 18:19 - 21:27 | SR 114 | Carl-Zeiss-Straße 3

***DISCLAIMER:** This translated record is not legally binding and just for informational purposes. For the legally binding document see the german version.*

present MoStuCo: Andreas Bagehorn, Chiara Daskiewitsch, Katharina Gabriel (til 21:04), Jan Haedicke (til 20:25), Marcus Hansen, Clara Höpfner, Stefan Huber, Levke Jansen, John Kaube, Willi Kröning, Niklas Menge, Luisa Queck (til 19:42), Maurice Reitzig, Reiner Sandmann (til 21:00), Leonard Schönfeld, Lenard Thost (til 21:30), Götz Wagner (til 20:00), Klara Wilde, Antonia Zahn, Christoph zur Mühlen (til 20:30)

excused MoStuCo: Bennet Hartung, Robert Pohle, Paul Weiß

unexcused MoStuCo: Chantalle Arsand, Aenna Fink, Carla Franke, Jan Hillebrandt, Smilla Kreuzberg, Daniel Mossmann, Linda Raschke, Henning Sylla

dormant MoStuCo: Jonah Hohlfeld, Luise Stroisch, Nele Wagner, Max Weber

present cMoStuCo: Dario Holz (Akrützel), Anne Kaufmann (Innenreferat), Peter Wiemuth (Geschäftsleitung)

Guests: Vivien Brenk, Beate Conrad (CRJ), Josua Gebelein (Studi-Chor), Lilou Gläß, Vincent Kempfer, Lea Koenzen (CRJ), Torsten Steinbrecher (til 19:55)

Session Leadership: Klara Wilde

Protocol: Chiara Daskiewitsch

Executive Board: Chiara Daskiewitsch, Klara Wilde

IOTA 01 → 01 | 18:19 | Reports

Marcus reports as MoStuCo

- Today is **Niklas' birthday**.
- Marcus asks the committee to sing **Happy Birthday** for him.

Happy Birthday is sung.

Klara reports from the Executive Board

- A new member is being sought for the **University Council** for the student status group. Paul Staab will not be continuing in this role. He has proposed Helen Würflein as his successor. There will be a separate agenda item for this at the next session.
- The KTS has informed us that a person is being sought for the **administrative board** of the "Studierendenwerk". The application deadline is 10 November. Please consider whether you are interested and, if so, send us a short letter of motivation. We will then forward the applications collectively.
- The **Frei(t)raum** was closed at short notice and without prior communication with the Executive board or AK Student freedom/spaces. We are very disappointed not to have been involved in the decision-making process and are working on a quick solution.
- The **Krautgassenfest** took place on 22nd October. We ran a stand, served tea and promoted the StuCo. Unfortunately, the festival was not as well attended as we had hoped. Nevertheless, it was a nice event and we would like to thank everyone who helped run the stand.
- We are currently working on **guidelines for advertising and cooperation**. We will draw these up in consultation with the University Legal Department so that FSRs in particular will have more certainty in the future about what they are and are not allowed to do.

Levke reports from the Senate

- On 10th November, there will be a **meeting with Prof. Weichold**, Vice-President for Teaching, to discuss the StuCo's statement on the general examination regulations. Among other things, the meeting will focus on how to better involve students in the future.

Marcus reports from the FSV Faculty Council

- There is now a **new Dean of Studies** at the FSV.

Peter reports from the Public Relations Department

- The **volunteer reception** will not be able to take place as planned on 28th November. An alternative plan is currently being worked on.

Anne reports from the department of internal affairs

- Tomorrow, the constituent meeting of the **FSR-KOM** will take place. All MoStuCo members are cordially invited.

IOTA 02 → 02 | 18:30 | Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

Stefan Huber is entering the session at 18:32.

Determination of quorum

- Of the **36** elected MoStuCo, **4** have a dormant mandate.
This means that there are **32** MoStuCo with voting rights.
- A quorum is reached when **17** MoStuCo with voting rights are present.
A two-thirds majority is achieved with **22** yes votes from the members present who are entitled to vote.
- There are **20** members present who are entitled to vote, so the committee is **quorate**. A two-thirds majority is **not achieved**.

provisional agenda

TOP 1 Reports

TOP 2 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

TOP 3 Discussion and Election: Executive Board (Executive Board)

TOP 4 2. Reading and Decision: Supplementary Budget 2025_26 (Finances)

TOP 5 Discussion and Election: Main system administration (Executive Board)

TOP 6 Discussion and Election: trust persons (Executive Board)

TOP 7 Discussion and Election: Application for Sports Department (Executive Board)

TOP 8 Discussion and Election: Application Diversity Department (Executive Board)

TOP 9 Discussion and Decision: Job posting Management (Executive Board)

TOP 10 Discussion and Decision: Renaming of the Webmaster and suspension of current provisions (Willi Kröning)

TOP 11 Discussion and Decision: Work Agreement on the principles of granting leaves (Management)

TOP 12 Discussion and Decision: Allowances (Willi Kröning)

TOP 13 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-017-2025_26 – further study (Management)

TOP 14 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-018-2025_26 – Technical equipment for new studio (Campusradio)

TOP 15 Discussion and Decision: Print quota student choir Collegium Vocale (Executive Board)

TOP 16 Discussion: Next Session

TOP 17 Miscellaneous

Discussion

- **Marcus** wants to move IOTA 15, 5 and 13 between IOTA 2 and 3, so that the relevant guests can leave earlier.
- There are no objections.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves the agenda below.

Voting: 20 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

decided agenda

TOP 1 Reports

TOP 2 Determination of the Quorum and Discussion & Decision of the Agenda

TOP 3 Discussion and Decision: Print quota student choir Collegium Vocale (Executive Board)

TOP 4 Discussion and Election: Main system administration (Executive Board)

TOP 5 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-017-2025_26 – further study (Management)

TOP 6 Discussion and Election: Executive Board (Executive Board)

TOP 7 2. Reading and Decision: Supplementary Budget 2025_26 (Finances)

TOP 8 Discussion and Election: trust persons (Executive Board)

TOP 9 Discussion and Election: Application for Sports Department (Executive Board)

TOP 10 Discussion and Election: Application Diversity Department (Executive Board)

TOP 11 Discussion and Decision: Job posting Management (Executive Board)

TOP 12 Discussion and Decision: Renaming of the Webmaster and suspension of current provisions (Willi Kröning)

TOP 13 Discussion and Decision: Work Agreement on the principles of granting leaves (Management)

TOP 14 Discussion and Decision: Allowances (Willi Kröning)

TOP 15 Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-018-2025_26 – Technical equipment for new studio (Campusradio)

TOP 16 Discussion: Next Session

TOP 17 Miscellaneous

IOTA 15 → 03 | 18:35 | Discussion & Decision:

Print quota student choir Collegium Vocale (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Dear all,

As discussed at the last meeting, we have contacted the Collegium Vocale student choir to discuss the cancelled printing quota with them.

As we have now received a response, we would like to use this meeting to once again discuss possible compromises/solutions.

As any decision will depend heavily on the outcome of the discussion, we would like to work on this together with you at the meeting.

Best regards,

The Board

Discussion

- **Klara** presents the motion.
- **Josua from the choir** reads out a prepared report:

My name is Josua Gebelein and I have been a member of the Collegium Vocale since the summer semester of 2024 and have been active on its board for some time. Today, I would like to represent our choir director, Lukas Sommer, who unfortunately cannot attend this meeting due to rehearsal commitments. Together with him, we as the board have agreed that I will speak on behalf of our choir today.

The student choir of the Friedrich Schiller University Jena, the Collegium Vocale, has been in existence for just over 75 years – an anniversary we were able to celebrate together last year. This choir with a long tradition brings many people together. The choir currently has a total of around 80 members. Our community is open to everyone and we regularly invite people to make music with us or to experience it. Our choir is a diverse group of people who enjoy making music together in a university setting. The students among us belong to various faculties and institutes, representing part of the diversity of our university, especially the part that combines academic teaching and research with a rich cultural life. The choir is led by a professional choir director, and we are supported by a choir director assistant. Alumni, people with doctoral or professorial titles also belong to us. Our repertoire is just as diverse as we are, including a cappella and choral symphonic works, classical music as well as jazz and pop, among other genres. In the choir as well as in our programmes, we encounter different languages and cultures, as demonstrated, for example, by our last major performance of Duke Ellington's "Sacred Concert" with the Jena Big Band and a tap dancer. We are in contact with other choirs, orchestras, soloists and universities in Germany and abroad. Cosmopolitanism is important to us, which is why we also enjoy travelling to other countries. In general, you can experience a community of young and ambitious people who support each other in the

choir, but also in everyday life, for example with questions and problems in or related to their studies. First-year students can thus settle in well at the university and find a secure social network, as emphasised by the Vice-President for University Community and Engagement, Prof. Dr Bärbel Kracke, emphasised in her speech at our last concert in the Volkshaus. We are not only seen and heard at performances; our choir is also present at Friedrich Schiller University in analogue and digital form, on our website, on social media, at the “Market of Opportunities” and on other occasions.

Our choir is characterised by constant change – not only due to a high turnover of members each semester, but also due to the change in leadership from the summer to the winter semester this year. The current choir director is setting new accents. The choir is to further expand its team structures, there is to be more participation, and the choir is to break new ground, for example by using unusual concert formats and venues, which is now possible in many ways as part of the Thuringia Choir Association. The choir is also open to new members.

Our choir is an integral part of the University of Jena, which provides us with rooms and many opportunities for rehearsals and performances. It is only through their constant support – and I include the StuCo in this – that we are able to realise various projects and keep our choir alive. On behalf of my choir, I would like to say “thank you” for your long-standing support, without which we would certainly no longer exist.

Like many others in the music industry, we are also affected by cutbacks and financial uncertainty. Although we have a support association and a foundation that is still in its infancy, we cannot finance all our projects with these. Our budget is limited not only by the university, but also by this side. Even internal donations usually help us only a little.

In addition, we as a choir are currently facing a very challenging period with a new choir director and the changes that this entails. It is no exaggeration to say that our choir, in particular, is facing organisational upheaval as it transitions from one choir director to another. Specifically, this involves problems with the feasibility of rehearsals, performances, choir trips and much more. Not to be forgotten is the material that we all use: sheet music. Without sheet music, our choir simply cannot rehearse. Although some can use a tablet, this is not a solution for everyone, and printed sheet music also ensures that all singers can sing from the music. The decision by the StuCo to reduce our sheet music allowance to zero pages is a bitter setback for us. The StuCo’s sheet music allowance has always been an indispensable basis for singing together. Similar to the reduction in the choir director’s fee, the sheet music quota was gradually reduced from an initial 12,000 pages. This initially agreed quota shows what was once possible. And what was once possible may become possible again. Later on, the quota was reduced to 8,000 pages, until we reached zero today. As things stand at present, neither the Friends’ Association nor the Choir Foundation can raise the sums necessary to obtain sufficient sheet music for our choir. The Friends Association already pays for part of the rehearsal weekends, soloists, rentals, excursions, etc. In the future, the foundation is expected to contribute to some of the costs that would be covered by the Friends Association. The fact is that neither has large reserves. The fact that an established student choir is dependent on a circle of friends, a foundation, private donations, and much more shows the full extent of the

crisis.

We want to continue cooperating with the StuCo and with the university in general, and we ask that, given the circumstances, you continue to support us with the continuation of a quota of sheet music provided by the university. It would also be conceivable for us or the Friends' Association to meet the StuCo halfway with the financing, so that some of the costs for the paper are covered. The sheet music quota is essential for us as the choir of the University of Jena, and we cannot do without it—at least not without major restrictions and losses. We should ask ourselves: What would the choir be without the university? But also: What would our university be like without our choir?

- **Levke** notes that everyone was already in favor of the choir at the last meeting and that it is not in the committee's interest to suddenly cancel the quota without replacement. Nevertheless, the question remains as to why we are supporting this choir and not others. What happens if other choirs come forward and want to print for free through us? In addition, a large part of the problem is that the original decision was made so many years ago that we haven't even been able to find it.
- **Christoph** asks how much it would cost the choir to print the sheet music themselves.
- **Josua** doesn't know for sure, but they could contribute a maximum of €400 per year for printing costs. For a more accurate estimate, the choir director would need to be asked.
- **Stefan** adds to Levke's comment that what is lacking above all is a basis for decision-making and a cooperation contract.
- **Niklas** asks again how many pages there were in the end.
- **Klara** explains that the choir's printing quota was last 8,000 pages per year.
- **Niklas** asks what legal form the choir has.
- **Josua** explains that the choir belongs to the university.
- **Niklas** insists that we limit ourselves to deciding whether or not we want to support the choir, and not discuss potential future requests from other choirs.
- **Marcus** asks whether the choir could get a Thoska printed at the university, since it belongs to the university.
- **Josua** notes that the quota that the university might be willing to accept does not meet demand.
- **John** asks how much the StuCo currently costs.
- **Klara** explains that we spend €85 per year on paper alone. Printing costs have not yet been calculated, as this is a subscription model. She also adds that we reduced our quota as StuCo a few years ago, which is why there is now a greater risk of exceeding the quota and incurring additional costs.
- **Anne** notes that the subscription contract specifies concrete prices per page. She believes that these are €0.08 per black-and-white page and €0.20 per color page. She also confirms that the StuCo quota has been reduced in the past because it was never fully utilized.
- **Katharina** is in favor of reconsidering the choir's quota, as the original decision was made so many years ago and is therefore very outdated.
- **Maurice** fears that the StuCo will create problems where there is no need to if the quota is reduced.

- **Levke** also sees it as an option to leave the quota at 8,000 pages, but make it accessible to all choirs.
- **Niklas** interjects that this would pose a problem for planning.
- **Marcus** has calculated that it costs us around €725 per year, based on €0.08 per sheet. However, this is not a significant amount in relation to our overall household budget, and we have always been able to finance it over the years.
- **Josua** emphasizes once again that they urgently need the quota and that the university and StuWe already support them in other ways and therefore cannot provide an additional printing quota.
- **John** notes that we too must be careful with our funds and that other choirs will be in the same situation.
- **Maurice** is in favor of sticking with the previous quota, at least for this year.
- **Götz** calls for an end to the debate.
- **Katharina** asks why the choir's financial situation is so poor when they have many more sponsors listed on their website than, for example, the Psycho Choir.
- **Beate (CRJ)** is in the psycho choir and explains that the psycho choir is structured differently and that, for example, everyone is responsible for printing their own sheet music.
- **Anne** adds that the choirs cannot be compared due to their different structures.
- **Niklas** is against trying to please everyone. He just wants to discuss the one choir that has submitted a request. He wants to keep the previous quota in place for the rest of this term of office.
- **Klara** has four specific compromise proposals that she would like to present:
 - The existing quota will be resumed. A cooperation contract must be drawn up.
 - The existing quota will be reinstated. A cooperation contract must be drawn up. In future, the paper must be brought along by the choir themselves.
 - The quota will be resumed. A cooperation contract must be drawn up. The quota will be gradually reduced from 2026 onwards.
 - The quota is being reintroduced. A cooperation contract must be drawn up. The quota is available to all choirs.
- **Stefan** asks why the university does not provide the choir with the money. He also notes that the quota cannot currently be a burden on the StuCo if no one has even noticed that it exists. He suggests ensuring that the quota is distributed evenly across the months so that it is not exceeded in individual months.
- **Anne** explains that the subscription is billed annually and therefore does not need to be spread evenly throughout the year.
- **Marcus** emphasizes that a university choir should also receive material support from the university.
- **Niklas** emphasizes that regardless of which proposal is chosen, we should ensure planning reliability.
- **Willi** asks when in the calendar year the printer for the subscription will be read out, so that we can take this into account when we write the resolution text.
- **Marcus** interjects that during his entire term in office, not a single print was made by the choir.

- **Anne** corrects him and explains that during the last term of office, she once spent a long time printing grades with our secretary Kerstin.
- **Torsten** explains that the quota is always automatically read out at midnight at the end of the month.
- **Anne** explains that the request from the subscription service to read the annual quota always comes in mid to late January.
- **Klara** explains once again that she definitely wants to continue running the quota until the end of the year as before.
- **Levke** Levke also thinks it's best to stick with the 8,000 pages for now.
- **Willi** proposes reducing the quota for 2026 to 6,000 pages.
- **Marcus** on the other hand, is in favor of reducing the quota to 4,000 pages from January 1, 2027, and remaining at 8,000 pages in 2026.
- **Josua** explains that planning security is very important to the choir. The choir is currently undergoing a period of change due to the change in choir leadership, which is why it would be very helpful to be able to retain the quota for the time being.
- **Anne** asks whether 6,000 pages would be sufficient for next year.
- **Josua** explains that he finds it difficult to assess this. However, it would be possible.
- **Anne** asks whether the sheet music will be collected again after performances, as it was previously mentioned that sheet music would be reused if possible.
- **Josua** explains that the programs are usually so different that the grades can be retained.

Amendment from Levke Jansen: The Student Council of Friedrich Schiller University has decided to enter into a cooperation contract with the student choir "Collegium Vocale" in order to provide financial relief. The student choir will be granted a printing allowance of 8,000 pages (DIN A4) from the Student Council's copy quota until the end of 2026.

accepted by the applicant

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides to conclude a cooperation agreement with the student choir "Collegium Vocale" in order to relieve its financial burden. The student choir will be granted a printing allowance from the student council's copy quota of 8,000 pages (DIN A4) until the end of 2026.

Voting: 15 Yes / 3 No / 2 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 05 → 04 | 19:30 | Discussion & Election:

Main system administration (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

The position of Head System Administrator was advertised. There was one application for this position, and Torsten would like to continue in the role. You can find the application in the non-public meeting materials.

Best regards,
The Board

Discussion

- **Klara** introduces the IOTA and explains that the agenda item is a non-public personnel matter.

Point of Order from Klara Wilde: Closure to the public

no objection, Point of Order accepted

The public leaves the room.

- Torsten is invited in.
- It is being discussed internally.

Point of Order from Klara Wilde: Restoration of the public sphere

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Election

Mandate Review and Counting Commission consisting of Anne Kaufmann, Peter Wiemuth, Vincent Kempfer

no objections

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena elects **Torsten Steinbrecher** as the new main system administrator.

Voting: 20 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention / 0 Invalid

elected, accepts the election

Luisa Queck is leaving the session at 19:42.

IOTA 13 → 05 | 19:43 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-017-2025_26 – further study (Management)

Motion Text

Dear all,

I hereby apply for funding for participation in the 39th Chaos Communication Congress Chaos Computer Clubs (CCC) as a continuing education measure for the main system administrator.

More detailed information can be found in the release of funds.

Discussion

- **Torsten** presents the motion.
- **Niklas** asks whether the training should be counted as working time. He also wonders why a business ticket has to be purchased.
- **Torsten** did not intend to count participation as working time. The main reason for the business ticket was that invoices are only issued for these tickets.
- **Niklas** notes that, according to the website, there is still a payment receipt for the cheaper tickets.
- **Marcus** asks whether the Business Ticket has any other advantages.
- **Torsten** explains that Business Ticket is primarily intended to allow costs to be billed to employers.
- **Niklas** emphasizes that he is not opposed to the decision to purchase a business ticket. However, he would like to check whether a cheaper ticket is available before booking.
- **Peter** offers to ask the organizers tomorrow whether an invoice could also be issued for cheaper tickets.
- **Willi** notes that we cannot approve the release of funds in its current form, as this would exceed the budgetary limits too greatly. The release of funds as submitted would only be possible if the supplementary budget was approved. He therefore proposes an adjustment to the financial plan and the text of the resolution.

Amendment from Willi Kröning: Change the text of the decision from "... in the amount of € 800 from budget item A.10.04.2 Continuing education..." to "...totaling €742, of which €600 will be taken from budget item A.10.04.2 Continuing education and €142 from budget item A.10.01 Travel expenses..."

accepted by the applicant

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides to release funds M-STURA-017-2025_26 in the amount of €742, whereby €600 will be taken from budget item A.10.04.2 Continuing Education and €142 from budget item A.10.01 Travel Expenses, for the participation of the chief system administrator in the 39th Chaos Communication Congress Chaos Computer Club, provided that the finance department approves the request.

Voting: 18 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

Torsten Steinbrecher is leaving the session at 19:55.

IOTA 03 → 06 | 19:56 | Discussion & Election: Executive Board (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Dear MoStuCo,

All good things come in threes.

Best regards,
The Executive Board

PS: If you are interested in joining the Executive Board, feel free to drop by our office at any time, ask questions, or see what we actually do as board members.
We look forward to seeing you!

Discussion

- **Klara** presents the motion.
- **Niklas** suggests Marcus.
- **Chiara** suggests Jan Haedicke.
- **Marcus und Jan** accept.
- **Götz und Niklas** wonder why Jan was nominated.
- **Klara** explains that Jan met with the current board to learn about the board's responsibilities and requirements. Chiara and Klara both had a good feeling about it and therefore want to propose Jan.
- **Marcus** introduces himself. He has already been on the board for 11.5 months and would like to continue until March.
- **Jan** introduces himself and explains that he could work at least 8 hours.
- **Niklas** asks whether this refers to 8 hours per day, week, or month.
- **Jan** explains that he can devote at least 8 hours per week to board work.
- **Anne** notes that as a board member, you should expect to spend around four hours a day on board work. Board work is a full-time job.
- **Jan** explains that his professional experience has taught him to cope well with a heavy workload.
- **Niklas** asks Marcus how long he worked as a board member.
- **Marcus** states that he worked around 140 hours in November and 120 hours in December, for example. On average, probably 40-50 hours per week.
- **Niklas** asks whether the rest of the board can compensate for the difference in Jan's capacities.
- **Klara** emphasizes that even 8 hours a week can be a great relief, especially since there are currently only two members on the board.
- **Niklas** asks what speaks in favor of Jan and against Marcus.
- **Jan** emphasizes once again that he was talking about a minimum of 8 hours per week and not

a maximum. He is not bound to a specific number of hours.

- **Katharina** asks Jan if he will have enough time for a quick training session in the next few weeks.
- **Jan** explains that he can certainly take enough time for this.
- **Katharina** wonders whether Jan should also receive a lower expense allowance if he has less time.
- **Klara** criticizes the question. The work on the board is never distributed equally. There are always people who do more and people who do less. And yet the board always receives the same amount. She therefore does not understand why there is now suddenly a debate about whether Jan should be entitled to the same expense allowance as everyone else.
- **Levke** criticizes the fact that the debate is so focused on the time component. It is impossible to estimate in advance how much time one will ultimately spend in the office. She herself was not asked about her time management at all before her election. And Niklas was elected to the board even though he had said that he would not have time for the next three months.
- **John** largely agrees with Klara and Levke. He is grateful that people have come forward who are willing to take on this role.
- **Peter** also thinks it's a shame that people only talk about time and not about the people themselves.
- **Nikals** explains that during his term in office, no one else was willing to take on the role. And when there were more candidates than places, he was immediately voted out due to lack of time. He wonders what the objections to Marcus are.
- **Levke** replies that she felt she had to speak up for Jan, as the conversation was strongly against him. And the fact that no vote was taken at two meetings speaks against Marcus.

Point of Order from Christoph: immediate coordination

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Election

Mandate Review and Counting Commission consisting of Anne Kaufmann, Peter Wiemuth, Vincent Kempfer

no objections

	1. WG	2. WG
Marcus	8	–
Jan	9	16
Nein	2	3

No one has been elected.

Declaration on the vote

title: Embarrassing

declaring person: Niklas Menge

reading aloud: yes

received: 20:24

declaration: I'm disappointed!

IOTA 04 → 07 | 20:25 | 2. Reading & Decision: Supplementary Budget 2025_26 (Finances)

Motion Text

Dear MoStuCo,

During the last term of office, work was already underway on the draft supplementary budget. Since then, there has been only one change. This is an increase in other costs for StuCo technology, as our system administration wants to expand the server structures and make them more secure.

Best regards,
The Finances

Discussion

- **Willi** presents the motion.
- **Niklas** asks whether the FSRe's collection pot should be understood in the same way as the collection pots of the departments.
- **Willi** confirms this.
- **Niklas** asks what the tax revenue pot is for, since tax refunds should benefit the respective pots.
- **Willi** replies that he has always had problems recording tax revenues for the annual financial statements and would therefore like to have a budget pot for this, but the tax revenues would be virtually allocated to the pots that generated them.
- **Niklas** is surprised as to why tax revenues are not then posted to the budget titles if they are already done so virtually anyway.
- **Willi** explains that actual tax revenues can only be posted once, but the distribution to the FSRe is done virtually via the calculation of the semester allocation.
- **Willi** would also like to make three changes. However, since he did not submit the agenda item on his own, he cannot make the changes himself.

amendment from **Willi Kröning**: Change the following titles as follows:

- A.10.01 200 € → 500 €
- A.10.04.2 1 200 € → 1 500 €
- A.11.02.1 10 000 € → 9 400 €

Voting: 13 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

Point of Order from **Willi**: End of reading

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 06 → 08 | 20:38 | Discussion & Election:

Trust persons (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

As announced at the last meeting, we want to fill the positions of trust persons again. We introduced this concept during the last term of office, and it has proven to be very helpful and valuable. That is why we would like to fill these positions again.

To give everyone who is new to the StuCo an idea of the responsibilities of the trust persons, here is the (old) conflict management concept that was developed by the current trust persons.

Best regards,

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Klara** presents the application and the tasks of the representatives.
- **Peter** suggests Levke.
- **Klara** suggests Katharina.
- **Levke** would continue for the time being, but would be happy to hand over to new people at some point.
- **Katharina** proposes that the agenda items be discussed again at the next meeting, as no third person can be found at present.

Point of Order from Levke Jansen: adjournment

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 07 → 09 | 20:44 | Discussion & Election: Application for Sports Department (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

We postponed the election of the sports representative at the constituent meeting. Therefore, we will now address this issue again.

Best regards,
The Board

Discussion

- **Klara** explains that Lewin unfortunately cannot be there.

Point of Order from John Kaube: adjournment

no objection, Point of Order accepted

IOTA 08 → 10 | 20:45 | Discussion & Election: Application Diversity Department (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

At the end of its last term, the StuCo merged the departments of “Equality” and “Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination” into a newly founded “Diversity Department”. For this reason, the department was advertised asynchronously with the other departments, and the application will be dealt with today and not at the constituent meeting.

You can find the application in the non-public meeting materials.

Best regards,

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Klara** explains that Philine is unfortunately unable to attend due to illness. However, she hopes that the agenda item will not be postponed, as Philine has done good work in the Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Department in the past and was also responsible for establishing the Diversity Department.
- **Marcus** confirms this and once again emphasizes her good work in the AG Nachteilsausgleich (Working Group on Compensation for Disadvantages).

Election

Mandate Review and Counting Commission consisting of **Peter Wiemuth, Vincent Kempfer, Stefan Huber**

no objections

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena elects **Philine Bäzel** as head of the Diversity Department.

Voting: 15 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention / 0 Invalid

elected, accepts the election

IOTA 09 → 11 | 20:50 | Discussion & Decision:

Job posting Management (Executive Board)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

Our management's contract expires at the end of the year, which is why we would like to re-advertise the position.

You can find the job advertisement in the public meeting materials.

More detailed information on the pay scale will follow as soon as possible.

Best regards,

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Peter** presents the motion.
- **Marcus** asks whether people without a university degree are also classified in group E10.
- **Peter** explains that the classification is always the same because the workload does not change.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena has decided to advertise a position for part-time management (5 days per week) in pay grade E10, case group 1 TV-L for the period from January 1, 2026, limited until December 31, 2026.

Voting: 15 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 10 → 12 | 20:55 | Discussion & Decision:

Renaming of the Webmaster and suspension of current provisions (Willi Kröning)

Motion Text

Dear MoStuCo,

For greater clarity, openness, transparency, and other revenue for the buzzword jar, I would like to see Niklas reappointed as webmaster so that he then, like the others, can be granted an expense allowance via a release of funds.

GaLieGrü

Willi Kröning

Discussion

- **Willi** presents the motion.
- **Niklas** is critical of the wording “to revoke the decision taken.” He would therefore like to change it to “the granted AE thus ends,” as this is exactly what happens as a result.
- **Marcus** also has concerns.

Amendment from Niklas Menge: Change “and thereby revoke the resolution passed by the StuCo on October 18, 2023, under OLD IOTA 13 NEW IOTA 16” to “and thereby terminate the expense allowance granted by the StuCo on October 18, 2023, under OLD IOTA 13 NEW IOTA 16.”

accepted by the applicant

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides to terminate Niklas Menge’s position as webmaster, which began at the board meeting on March 2, 2023 under agenda item 02, and thus to terminate the expense allowance granted by the StuCo on October 18, 2023 under OLD IOTA 13 NEW IOTA 16.. Furthermore, the StuCo of the FSU Jena decides to appoint Niklas Menge as webmaster for the term of office 2025/26

Voting: 13 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

Katharina Gabriel is leaving the session at 21:04.

IOTA 11 → 13 | 21:04 | Discussion & Decision:

Work Agreement on the principles of granting leaves (Management)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

Our management has drawn up a work agreement on the principles of granting vacation leave. You can find the draft in the public session materials.

The agreement has already been discussed with our staff during a staff meeting and adjustments have been made accordingly.

We are currently awaiting the legal department's assessment of the paragraph marked in yellow.

Best regards,

The Executive Board

Discussion

- **Peter** presents the motion.
- **Niklas** asks whether it is legally acceptable for vacation time to expire if someone is sick from December to April, for example.
- **Chiara** explains that this is standard practice. In some cases, it is possible to submit a special request for the leave to be granted until June/July. After that, it expires.
- **Niklas** asks why our employees should be forced to take two weeks' vacation at a time.
- **Peter** explains that we are not forcing anyone. However, we have to grant two weeks at a time and also provide a certain level of care.
- **Niklas** criticizes the fact that there is no definition of what constitutes a vacation request submitted "in a timely manner".
- **Peter** explains that this is intentional, as we also want to make it possible to take short-term leave in exceptional cases. Nevertheless, more precise guidelines will be communicated to employees.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides this service agreement on the principles governing the granting of leave.

Voting: 12 Yes / 0 No / 2 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 12 → 14 | 21:10 | Discussion & Decision: Allowances (Willi Kröning)

Motion Text

Dear MoStuCo,

As I did a year ago, I am submitting all expense allowances at once. I have again based my calculations on the previous values. Since Nele was otherwise engaged for almost the entire month of October, I am only applying for her AE from November onwards. The unequal distribution of expense allowances for coordinating the Market of Opportunities is due to the equally unequal distribution of work, as I have observed. For Niklas, I would simply like to move away from the expense allowance decided two years ago in order to have releases of funds for all expense allowances. GaLieGrü

Discussion

- **Klara** explains that Paul Staab had already submitted an amendment before the meeting. He would like to change the resolution amount of M-STURA-11-2025_26 to €150. The reason for this is as follows: The tasks of the election committee were again characterized by a large volume and complexity this year. Although Anne was not elected to the position of election chair, she took on the coordination and, in many cases, responsibility for the tasks at hand and handled a large part of the work. We therefore consider it appropriate that this is also reflected in the amount of the expense allowance, especially in the difference in the amount. For this reason, I propose that Anne's expense allowance be increased to €150 based on the actual work she performed.
- **Willi** does not accept the amendment. It must therefore be put to a vote.

amendment from Paul Staab: Change the decision amount of M-STURA-11-2025_26 to EUR 150.

Voting: 4 Yes / 0 No / 10 Abstention

accepted

Point of Order from Willi Kröning: block vote

objection from Marcus Hansen: He doesn't like block voting.

Voting: 11 Yes / 2 No / 1 Abstention

accepted

Beschlusstexte

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-003-2025_26 in the amount of €6,000, and thus a monthly expense allowance of €500 for Chiara Daskiewitsch as a member of the executive board of the StuCo from October 2025 until the end of her term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-004-2025_26 in the amount of €6,000, and thus a monthly expense allowance of €500 for Klara Wilde as a member of

the executive board of the StuCo from October 2025 until the end of her term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-005-2025_26 in the amount of €3,300 and thus a monthly expense allowance of €300 for Nele Wagner as the StuCo's budget manager from November 2025 until the end of her term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-006-2025_26 in the amount of €3,300 and thus a monthly expense allowance of €300 for Willi Kröning as treasurer of the StuCo from October 2025 until the end of his term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-007-2025_26 in the amount of €1,800 and thus a monthly expense allowance of €150 for Kat Schmidt as deputy treasurer of the StuCo from October 2025 until the end of her term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-008-2025_26 in the amount of €1,800 and thus a monthly expense allowance of €150 for Lena Sauerbier as deputy budget manager of the StuCo from October 2025 until the end of her term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-009-2025_26 in the amount of €1,800 and thus a monthly expense allowance of €150 for Linus Maul as deputy budget manager of the StuCo from October 2025 until the end of his term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-010-2025_26 in the amount of €600, and thus a monthly expense allowance of €50 for Niklas Menge as webmaster of the StuCo from October 2025 until the end of his term of office, at the latest up to and including September 2026.

The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-011-2025_26 and thus a one-time expense allowance of €150 for Anne Kaufmann in her role as a member of the election board of the StuCo.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-012-2025_26 and thus a one-time expense allowance of €100 for Peter Wiemuth in his function as a chair of the election board of the StuCo.

The Student Council of the University of Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-013-2025_26

and thus a one-time expense allowance of €100 for David Baier in his role as a member of the election board of the StuCo.

The Student Council of the University of Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-014-2025_26 and thus a one-time expense allowance of €100 for Paul Staab in his role as a member of the election board of the StuCo.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-015-2025_26 and thus a one-time expense allowance of €150 for Klara Wilde in her role as coordinator of the Market of Opportunities 2025.

The StuCo of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-016-2025_26 and thus a one-time expense allowance of €50 for Luisa Queck in her role as co-coordinator of the Market of Opportunities 2025.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena decides the above resolutions as a block vote.

Voting: 9 Yes / 0 No / 1 Abstention

rejected

Declaration on the vote

title: Without sense or reason

declaring person: Niklas Menge

reading aloud: no

received: after the session

declaration: It's one thing to leave the board alone with the work for the three of them. Not even giving them an AE because you're f***** lazy and voting as a block isn't cheeky, it's at least a hard kick in the gut.

IOTA 14 → 15 | 21:20 | Discussion & Decision: Release of Funds M-STURA-018-2025_26 – Technical equipment for new studio (Campusradio)

Motion Text

Hello everyone,

The campus radio would like to purchase various technical equipment for the new studio.
More detailed information can be found in the funding approval.

Discussion

- **Beate from the CRJ** presents the motion.
- There are no questions.

Draft Resolution: The Student Council of the FSU Jena approves the release of funds M-STURA-018-2025_26 in the amount of €1,500 from budget item A.03.02.1 Material costs for technical equipment for the new CRJ studio, subject to approval by the finance department.

Voting: 14 Yes / 0 No / 0 Abstention

accepted

IOTA 16 → 16 | 21:22 | Nächste Sitzung

Discussion

- **Klara** proposes November 11, 2025 as the date for the next meeting.
- There are no objections.

IOTA 17 → 17 | 21:23 | Miscellaneous

Session Colour

- **Leo** suggests concrete gray.
- **Marcus** suggests steel gray.
- **John** suggests pastel lavender.
- **Levke** suggests forest green.

Point of Order from Klara: opinion poll

no objection, Point of Order accepted

Opinions are gathered about which color should be the session color for the current session.

Result: 3 concrete gray. / 4 steel gray / 14 pastel lavender / 13 forest green

→ **The meeting color is pastel lavender.**

Jena, November 11, 2025

Klara Wilde
Session Leadership

Chiara Daskiewitsch
Protocol

Begriffserklärung

- StuCo: Student Council
- FSU: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
- EAH: Ernst-Abbe-Hochschule Jena
- MoStuCo: Member(s) of the Student Council
- cMoStuCo: consultant Member(s) of the Student Council
- IOTA: Item on the Agenda
- StuBei: Studierendenbeirat (der Stadt Jena)
- StuWe: Studierendenwerk
- FSR: Student Department Council
- GO: Order of the Student Council
- FinO: Financial Order of the Constituted Student Body
- CZS: Carl-Zeiss-Straße
- UHG: University main building (Fürstengraben 1)
- EAP: Ernst-Abbe-Platz
- CRJ: Campusradio Jena